# Cascade Range Lahars (Volcanic Debris Flows) JB Maynard 2010

The most devastating product of a volcanic eruption is a *lahar*, from an Indonesian word for mudflow. During an eruption much of the snow and ice on the volcano peak may melt to produce a sediment-laden slurry that moves rapidly downhill, sweeping away everything in its path or, at the distal end, burying everything in suffocating mud. Non-eruptive lahars also occur, initiated by landslides or by rain events. The rock mass making up a volcano is surprisingly weak because of cooling-induced fractures and because of hydrothermal alteration, so collapse of a large segment of the volcano, with no warning from rising magma, can occur and generate damaging lahars.

# Introduction – lahar definitions and processes

The terminology applied to the various types of granular flow deposits associated with volcanoes is wildly variable among various authors. For consistency, we will adopt a scheme presented by Scott et al. (2001). They differentiated two main categories:

 Debris avalanches – dominantly grain flows, controlled by interparticle friction and collisions. Has abundant "megaclasts" > 1 meter. Often these are made up of fragile or altered lithologies. Has a trimodal size distribution: megaclasts >1 m; clasts 2 mm to 1m; matrix < 2 mm.</li> Debris flows – mass flows controlled by excess pore pressure in a viscous pore liquid. Bimodal size distribution: clasts and matrix. A lahar is a volcano-associated debris flow. Some clasts may be fragile lithologies or have "jig-saw puzzle" texture.



Debris avalanches and debris flows have a wide zone of overlap as shown below (Figure 15 of Scott et al., 2001)



Debris flows may be either "non-cohesive" or "cohesive":

- Non-cohesive debris flows dominated by sand-sized particles in the matrix. Tend to originate as meltwater surges or lake breakouts (fines have been previously washed out). Generally associated with eruptions.
- Cohesive debris flows (aka mudflows) have a significant proportion (> 5 %) of silt and clay in the matrix.
  Tend to originate as landslides formed during flank collapse in hydrothermally-altered material or in sector collapse of the main peak. May also be eruptive, but often occur independent of eruptive activity.

The term "mudflow" is now discouraged, although retained in formal stratigraphic names, because the actual amount of mud can be quite small. Also note that some earlier authors use the term *lahar* for cohesive debris flows, confining the term *debris flow* to the non-cohesive variety.



(Figure 1 of Scott et al., 2001).

#### **Selected References for Lahars and other Debris Flows**

Iverson, R.M., Reid, M.E., and LaHusen, R.G., 1997, Debris-flow mobilization from landslides: *Annual Review of Earth and Planetary Sciences*, v. 25, p. 85-138.

Johnson, A.M., and Rahn, P.H., 1970, Mobilization of debris flows: Zeitschrift fur Geomorphologie, Supplement v. 9, p.168–186.

Landim, P.M.B., and Frakes, L.A., 1968, Distinction between tills and other diamictons based on textural characteristics: *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology*, v. 38, p. 1213-1223.

Pierson, T.C., 2005, Distinguishing Between Debris Flow and Floods from Field Evidence in Small Watersheds: U.S. Geological Survey Fact Sheet 2004-3142.

http://vulcan.wr.usgs.gov/Projects/FS2004-3142/

Scott, K.M., Macias, J.L., Naranjo, J.A., Rodriguez, S., and McGeehin, J.P., 2001, Catastrophic debris flows transformed from landslides in volcanic terrains: Mobility, hazard assessment, and mitigation strategies: *U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1630*, 70 pp.

### Part 1: Lahars on Mt. Rainier

Mt Rainier periodically produces lahars that flow all the way to the sea. Key factors are its very steep profile and highly altered rocks.



Examples of lahars from Mt. Rainier (modified from Table 3 of Scott et al., 2001)									
Name	River Valley	Age	Run-out distance-km	Volume-km <sup>3</sup>					
Tahoma	Nisqually	400	>18	0.10					
Electron	Puyallup	500	68 (Puget Sound)	0.23					
"1000-yr-old"	Puyallup	1000	>24	0.20					
lahar									
Round Pass	Puyallup	2600	>31	0.40					
mudflow									
Round Pass	Nisqually	2600	>25	0.17					
mudflow									
Unnamed lahar		2900	>14	0.15					
"Pre-Y" lahar	Puyallup	3490	>36	0.15					
Osceola mudflow	White	4832	125 (Puget Sound)	3.8					





#### **Selected References for Mt Rainier**

Crandell, D.R., 1969, The geologic story of Mount Rainier: U.S. Geological Survey Bulletin 1292, 43 pp.

Crandell, D.R., 1971, Post-glacial lahars from Mount Rainier volcano, Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 677, 75 pp.

Hoblitt, R.P., Walder, C.L. Driedger, K.M. Scott, P.T. Pringle, and J.W. Vallance, 1998, Volcano Hazards from Mount Rainier, Washington, Revised 1998: U.S. Geological Survey Open-File Report 98-428. http://vulcan.wr.usgs.gov/Volcanoes/Rainier/Hazards/OFR98-428/OFR98-428.html

John, D.A., Sisson, T.W., Breit, G.N., Rye, R.O., Vallance, J.W., 2008, Characteristics, extent and origin of hydrothermal alteration at Mount Rainier Volcano, Cascades Arc, USA: Implications for debris-flow hazards and mineral deposits: *Journal of Volcanology and Geothermal Research*, v. 175, p. 289-314.

Reid, M.E., Sisson, T.W., and Brien, D.L., 2001, Volcano collapse promoted by hydrothermal alteration and edifice shape, Mount Rainier, Washington: *Geology*, v. 29, p. 779-782.

Scott, K.M., Vallance, J.W., and Pringle, P.T., 1995, Sedimentology, behavior and hazards of debris flows at Mount Rainier, Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1547, 56 pp.

Vallance, J.W., and Scott, K.M., 1997, The Osceola Mudflow from Mount Rainier: sedimentology and hazard implications of a huge clay-rich debris flow: *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, v. 109, p. 143–163.

Walder, J.S., and Driedger, C.L., 1994a, Geomorphic change caused by outburst floods and debris flows at Mount Rainier, WA: U.S. Geological Survey Water Resources Investigation Open-File Report 93-4093, 93 pp.

Walder, J.S., and Driedger, C.L., 1994b, Frequent outburst floods from South Tahoma Glacier, Mount Rainier, USA: Relation to debris flow, meteorological origin and implications for subglacial hydrology: *Journal of Glaciology*, v. 41, p. 1-10.

Zehfuss, P.H., Atwater, B.F., Vallance, J.W., Brenniman, H., and Brown, T.A., 2003, Holocene lahars and their by-products along the historical path of the White River between Mount Rainier and Seattle: *Geological Society of America Field Guides*, v. 4, p. 209-223.

## Part 2: Lahars on Mt. St Helens

Much of what we know about lahars is based on detailed observations of the events of May 18, 1980 on Mt St Helens and measurements on the resulting deposits.

The action that day was opened at 0832 by a giant sector-collapse landslide that removed the north face of the mountain. The pressure release on the magma chamber opened the way for an overpowering lateral blast that destroyed everything on the north side of the volcano. The landslide also produced a large debris avalanche that filled the North Fork of the Toutle River. At the same time, debris flows were intiated in other drainages, stimulated by the melting of 70 % of the glacial ice on the mountain. Late in the afternoon, the debris avalanche deposit had aquired sufficient water to generate a giant debris flow that swept down the Toutle River valley beyond the I-5 bridge and into the Cowlitz River and then into the Columbia – a distance of over 120 km. When it reached the Columbia, it deposited 45 million cubic meters of sand and gravel, raising the channel depth from 12 m to 3.5 m. The channel was blocked to ocean-going vessels for 13 days.



Lahars of May 18, 1980 on Mt St Helens								
(data taken from Janda et al., 1981 and from Cummans, 1981)								
Drainage	Мар	Km	Time of	Time of	Height	Speed	Deposit	
	Symbol	above	arrival	peak		m/sec	thickness	
		mouth		flow				
		of						
		Cowlitz						
		R						
Southwest drain	nages		•				l	
Upper Muddy	А		0832		10-20 m	32	2 m	
River								
Smith Creek	В					40		
Muddy River	С					20		
Hoo Hoo Lake	D							
W Fork Pine	E							
Creek								
E Fork Pine	F				10 m	31	0.5-2.5 m	cohesive
Creek								
South Fork Tout	tle River		•	•				
S Fork Toutle R	G		~0835				1-2 m	
S Fork Toutle R	Н		~0835		15 m	12.2	1-2 m	

S Fork Toutle R –	CC	103		0850				
1 <sup>st</sup> flow								
S Fork Toutle R –	CC	103	1400					
2nd flow								
Weyerhauser	C12	68	1003	1010		10.5		
Camp 12								
Gardner Bridge	GB		1013		2-3 m	3-4	5 m	Lithic-rich
over S Fork – 1 <sup>st</sup>								
flow								
Gardner Bridge	GB							Pumice-rich
over S Fork –								
2nd flow								
Toutle River, Main Stem – flow from S Fork								
Confluence – 1 <sup>st</sup>	I – Coal	59	1020	1050	4 m	5.5	~1 m	Non-cohesive
flow from S Fork	Bank							
	Bridge							
Toutle River	L					6-8		Cohesive
Toutle River	M –	43		1150		6.7	7 m	Cohesive
	Tower							
	Bridge							
Toutle	0					1.5		
Toutle River	N – Old		1300				4 m	
	Pacific							

	Hwy							
	(WA99)							
	bridge							
Cowlitz R @		28	1310	1330		3.6		
Castle Rock								
Cowlitz R @		8	1615	1700		2.3		
Longview								
North Fork Tou	tle River							
Elk Rock	ER	101	1325	1330		3.5		
Camp Baker		88	1357	1500		3.1		
N Fork Toutle R	К					4-5	0.5-2 m	
Green River			1530					
Camp 19	C19	73		1700		3.5		
N Fork Toutle R	J					12	~2.5 m	
Boys Ranch	BR	65	1745	1800		2.5		
Toutle River, M	ain Stem –	flow fro	m N Fork	,				
Confluence –	I – Coal	59	1810	1900	16 m	5.5	5-7 m	Cohesive
flow from N Fork	Bank							
	Bridge							
Toutle River	L					6-8		Cohesive
Toutle River	M –	43	2029	2115		6.7	7 m	Cohesive
	Tower							

	Bridge							
Toutle	0					1.5		
Toutle River	N – Old		2030	2200			4 m	
	Pacific							
	Hwy							
	(WA99)							
	bridge							
Cowlitz R @		28	2030	2400		2.2		
Castle Rock								
Cowlitz R @		8		0400		2.0		
Longview				May 19				
Flow rates in italics are average values for the reach above the indicated position; others are estimates of								
instantaneous rates								

Location	Example	Location	Example
At I-5	the state of the s	I-5 bridge	
bridge		over Toutle	
over		River,	and and over the states of
Toutle		summer	and the second second
River,		1980	
summer			
1980			



#### **Selected References for Mt St Helens -- lahars**

Brantley, S.R., and Waitt, R.B., 1988, Interrelations among pyroclastic surge, pyroclastic flow, and lahars in Smith Creek valley during first minutes of 18 May 1980 eruption of Mount St. Helens, USA: *Bulletin of Volcanology*, v. 50, p. 304–326.

Cummans, J., 1981, Chronology of mudflows in the South Fork and North Fork of the Toutle River following the May 18 eruption, *in* Lipman, P.W., and Mullinezux, D.R., eds., The 1980 Eruptions of Mount St. Helens, Washington: *U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1250*, p. 461-478. (see also USGS Circular 850B)

Fink, J., Malin, M.C., D'Alli, R.E., and Greely, R., 1981, Rheological properties of mudflows associated with the spring 1980 eruptions of Mount St. Helens volcano, Washington: *Geophysical Research Letters*, v. 8, p. 43-46.

Janda, R.J., Scott, K.M., Nolan, K.M., and Martinson, H.A., 1981, Lahar movement, effects, and deposits, *in* Lipman, P.W., and Mullineux, D.R., eds., The 1980 Eruptions of Mount St. Helens, Washington: *U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1250*, p. 461-478.

Major, J.J., and Voight, B., 1986, Sedimentology and clast orientations of the 18 May 1980 southwest flank lahars, Mount St. Helens, Washington: *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology*, v. 56, p. 691–705.

Major, J.J., Pierson, T.C., and Scott, K.M., 2005, Debris flows at Mount St. Helens, Washington, USA, *in* Jakob, M., and Hungr, O., eds., *Debris Flow Hazards and Related Phenomena*: Heidelberg, Springer-Praxis, p. 685–731.

Mills, H.H., 1984, Clast orientation in Mount St. Helens debris-flow deposits, North Fork Toutle River, Washington: *Journal of Sedimentary Petrology*, v. 54, p. 626-634.

Pierson, T.C., 1985, Initiation and flow behavior of the 1980 Pine Creek and Muddy River lahars, Mount St. Helens, Washington: *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, v. 96, p. 1056-1069.

Pringle, P.T., 1993 [revised 2002], Roadside geology of Mount St Helens National Volcanic Monument and vicinity: Washington Division of Geology and Earth Resources, Information Circular 88, 122 pp.

Scott, K.M., 1988a, Origins, behavior, and sedimentology of lahars and lahar-runout flows in the Toutle-Cowlitz River system: U.S. Geological Survey Professional Paper 1447-A, 74 pp.

Scott, K.M., 1988b, Origins, behavior, and sedimentology of prehistoric lahars at Mount St. Helens, Washington: *Geological Society* of America Special Paper 229, p. 23-36.

Waitt, R.B., 1989, Swift snowmelt and floods (lahars) caused by great pyroclastic surge at Mount St. Helens volcano, Washington, 18 May 1980: *Bulletin of Volcanology*, v. 52, p. 138–157.

Waitt, R.B., Pierson, T.C., MacLeod, N.S., Janda, R.J., Voight, B., and Holcomb, R.T., 1983, Eruption-triggered avalanche, flood, and lahar at Mount St. Helens—Effects of winter snowpack: *Science*, v. 221, p. 1394–1397.

#### Selected References for Mt St Helens – lahar related deposits

Bursik, M.I., Kurbatov, A.V., Sheridan, M.F., and Woods, A.W., 1998, Transport and deposition in the May 18, 1980, Mount St. Helens blast flow: *Geology*, v. 26, p. 155-158.

Glicken, H., 1996, Rockslide-debris avalanche of May 18, 1980, Mount St Helens volcano, Washington: U.S. Geological Survey Openfile Report 96-677, 90 pp.

Levine, A.H., and Kieffer, S.W., 1991, Hydraulics of the August 7, 1980, pyroclastic flow at Mount St. Helens, Washington: *Geology*, v. 19, p. 1121-1124.

### Part 3: Lahars on Mt. Hood

Compared to Rainier and St Helens, Mt Hood has a lower level of lahar activity, but damaging events do occur.

According to Scott et al., 1997, about 1,500 years ago, a moderate-size debris avalanche originating on the upper southwest flank of Mount Hood produced a lahar that flowed down the Zigzag and Sandy River valleys. It swept over the entire valley floor in the Zigzag-Wemme-Wildwood area, and inundated a broad area near Troutdale, where the Sandy flows into the Columbia River—a total distance of about 90 kilometers (55 miles). More than 100,000 years ago, a much larger debris avalanche and related lahar (see photos from the area along the railroad) flowed down the Hood River, crossed the Columbia River, and flowed several kilometers up the White Salmon River on the Washington side. Its deposit must have dammed the Columbia River at least briefly.

A damaging lahar occurred in December 1980 when intense warm rain (with rapid snowmelt) triggered a flow in Polallie Creek that killed a camper at the creek mouth and temporarily dammed the East Fork Hood River. The ensuing dambreak flood destroyed about 10 kilometers (6 miles) of Oregon Highway 35 and other downstream facilities and caused about \$13 million in damage (Galino and Pierson, 1985).

Mt Hood lahars								
Location	Example	Location	Example					
Polallie		Polallie						
Creek at		Creek at						
OR 35		OR 35						





### **Selected References for Mt Hood**

Cameron, K.A., and Pringle, P.T., 1987, A detailed chronology of the most recent major eruptive period at Mount Hood, Oregon: *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, v. 99, p. 845-851.

Galino, G.L., and Pierson, T.C., 1985, Polallie Creek debris flow and subsequent dam-break flood of 1980, East Fork Hood River basin, Oregon: U. S. Geological Survey Water Supply Paper 2273, 22 pp.

Scott, W.E., Pierson, T.C., Schilling, S.P., Costa, J.E., Gardner, C.A., Vallance, J.W., and Major, J.J., 1997a, Volcano hazards in the Mount Hood region, Oregon: U.S. Geological Survey Open-file Report 97-89.

Scott, W.E., Gardner, C.A., Sherrod, D.R., Tilling, R.I., Lanphere, M.A., Conrey, R.M., 1997b, Geologic history of Mount Hood Volcano, Oregon – A field trip guidebook: *U.S. Geological Survey Open-file Report 97-263*.

Sherrod, D.R., and Scott, W.E., 1995, Preliminary geologic map of the Mount Hood 30-by-60-Minute quadrangle, northern Cascade Range, Oregon: U.S. Geological Survey Open-file Report 95-219.

Wise, W.S., 1969, Geology and Petrology of the Mt. Hood Area: A Study of High Cascade Volcanism: *Geological Society of America Bulletin*, v. 80, p. 969-1006.